

RHODESIA AND SOUTH AFRICA

Monica Stone

INTRODUCTION:

The tragedy befalling the Southern tip of Africa was no accident. All of what took place over a long period of time were planned and plotted by very evil men and women who worked behind the scenes and some of them were not so hidden. What is written in this small effort is just a fraction of the betrayal, the sell-out and treason by the game players on the world stage of politics and maneuvers. The tragedy of what took place in Southern Africa has not played out as yet it is still lived and felt every day in the lives of everyone living there.

Many books have been written about this subject, but this synopsis gives the reader a very good glimpse of the planning, plotting and betrayal of the Whites in Southern Africa, in fact not only did this happen to Southern Africa, but the whole of Africa where the White population just became dispensable to the Power Elite.

The betrayal brought so much misery to this beautiful continent with Whites particularly the Afrikaners being slaughtered in the most savage way possible. The blood of the innocent is crying out from the very soul and soil of Southern Africa. That these atrocities are just ignored by the outside world is beyond any understanding.

A SYNOPSIS OF THE BETRAYAL

To understand some background to the history and existence of the Republic of Rhodesia which was in actual fact very short lived, we have to go prior 1970. Before this time two “countries” North and South Rhodesia were British colonies. North Rhodesia became the “independent” Zambia under black rule with the blessing of Great Britain. On November 11, 1965 Unilateral Declaration of Independence from the United Kingdom was declared with the capital of the Republic of Rhodesia in Salisbury. Its territory consisted of the former British colony of Southern Rhodesia named after Cecil Rhodes whose British South Africa Company acquired the land in the 19th century.

The country was governed by a white minority. Of course UDI was never recognized by the British government and was called Zimbabwe Rhodesia by Her Majesty’s government. In April of 1980 the state got recognized as the

independent Republic of Zimbabwe, ruled by blacks with President Robert Mugabe in for life. The British government adopted a policy of no independence before majority rule. Needless to say the international community condemned UDI. The sanctions started against this tiny landlocked Rhodesia and the bush war followed. Not all members of the international community participated in the sanctions, South Africa, Portugal, Israel, Iran and some other Arab countries helped Rhodesia. Of course the United States had no sanctions against the importation of chrome, ferrochrome and nickel from Rhodesia. The U.S. stated very clearly an independent Rhodesia will never be recognized. The UK influenced the US to close her consulate in Salisbury.

At the London School of Economics a conference funded by the British Academy portrayed UDI as a product of racial conflict. Critics maintained the idea that Ian Smith was defending only the privileges of the White minority at the expense of the black majority. Please note there was NO apartheid or segregation of the races in Rhodesia, the pressure put on Rhodesia by the U.K. and U.S. was the insistence of majority rule (black rule). This was not the case in South Africa there the reason was to get rid of apartheid and then majority rule, meaning black rule. Basically it does not matter what excuse is used, just as long as there is black rule. Of course UDI was blamed for the failure of the black farmers, because the white farmers went into “competition” with the black farmers and the latter did not have the ability to fight this situation.

Then the bush war started. The armed campaign by ZANLA and ZAPU against the Rhodesian government followed UDI. The war started around 1972 when there were attacks on whites in the rural and isolated areas, though the guerillas represented a threat already in the 1960's.

Robert Mugabe, appealed to the U.S. and U.K. governments for military assistance, but he was not successful so he turned to China and the Soviet Union.

After the Portuguese rule in Mozambique came to an end in 1975, Ian Smith could no longer sustain his white minority government. South Africa under John Vorster, then also turned her back on Rhodesia. The bush war escalated, because the governments of Zambia and Botswana allowed guerilla camps to be set up on their territories. There were volunteers fighting on the side of White Rhodesia from all over the world as far as America and Australia. Our house was open to so many of these men traveling from Europe or the United

States back and forth fighting the good fight, so many friendships were forged over a small number of years.

Ian Smith was offering more concessions, being pressurized by Henry Kissinger, but all this did not stop the war. John Vorster gave white Rhodesia as the sacrificial lamb to the black terrorists in order to buy more time for White South Africa. In the meantime Ian Smith dismissed his Defense Minister, P.K. van der Byl who stated, "...it is better to fight to the last man and the last cartridge and die with some honor. Because, what is being presented to us here is a degree of humiliation...", P.K. van der Byl in 1977 commenting on a British peace plan. P.K. van der Byl retired to his country estate outside Cape Town.

The interim government led by Abel Muzorewa was not recognized by the international world. The British government wanted all parties to be part of the new government and in 1979 UDI ended and Rhodesia became a British colony once again. An internationally supervised election took place and ZANU (PF) led by Robert Mugabe won the election. Mugabe's victory was certified. Mugabe and the victorious "nationalists" were concerned about the mass exodus of the white community. Most white families fled to South Africa. Robert Mugabe and the central committee of ZANU (PF) reassured Ian Smith that whites could and should stay in Zimbabwe. On April 18, 1980 Rhodesia died and the Republic of Zimbabwe was born and the capital, Salisbury became Harare two years later.

Britain adopted a foreign policy called NINMAR, or No Independence before Majority African Rule, mandating democratic reforms that placed governance in the hands of the black African majority. This means all countries in Africa, as the whites were outnumbered in every one of them.

What we see today in Zimbabwe is the failure of black majority rule. Once Rhodesia was the breadbasket of Africa, today Zimbabwe has to import all foods, while the fertile land just lies dormant. By 2007 the inflation rate went up to 11,200,000%. Monetary aid was suspended due to Zimbabwe defaulting on loans. Zimbabwe abandoned the Z dollar in exchange for the South African Rand, US dollar and the Euro. Today the black Africans are leaving the Republic of Zimbabwe in their millions to settle in South Africa and in so doing causing havoc with the already failing infrastructure in this beleaguered and tragic country with her own story of destruction to tell.

Two of the main players in the destruction of Rhodesia and South Africa are Lord Carrington and Henry Kissinger. They were not alone, planning to hand over the white populations of Africa to the brutality of the African, to be raped, murdered and tortured. These actions of people like Carrington and Kissinger are so evil, the conclusion is they are the direct emissaries of Satan himself. By declaring war on the Children of God, they are in actual fact warring against the Creator Himself.

When facts and happenings are studied we come to a very sad realization that there were very few organizations fighting on the side of the white man. It was never clearer than in the days when the white man in Africa was/is fighting for his/her survival. Nothing appears as it should. Organizations pretending to be THE solution the only true fighter for survival in the end just capitulated or showed their true colors. All is a game and the white in Africa and now the rest of the Western world on a fast diminishing white planet is the victim of pure evil. As S.E.D. Brown so plainly put it, the liberals are paving the way for communism the communists have never fought a war in the name of communism but have paved the way of the take-over of millions of people. All is a game and the name of the game is communism versus nationalism branches of the same tree, namely the money power of the power hungry elite, they are all the same from the beginning of time, only the names changed.

The blueprint of the war against South Africa was prepared by so many organizations one which is standing out namely the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. Indeed a weird sense of peace to destroy the white rule in South Africa and hand the civilized First World country to third world Africans. In the 170 page document "Apartheid and United Nations Collective Measures" outlined the cost and the scope to seize control of South Africa. The analysis is detailed to the "t" the cost of an air and naval blockade, the assault force at \$95 million a day period with casualties in the U.N. forces ranging between 19,000 and 38,000 killed and wounded.

The editor of the study, Miss Amelia C. Leiss of the Carnegie staff gave thanks to Sam Charles Sarkisian for his valuable work to bring South Africa to her knees in four months. The blueprint stated that the major powers the United States and the Soviet Union would have to provide the bulk of the manpower, material and financing. Interesting, we were told the U.S. and the Soviet Union are enemies, who would have thought?

The blueprint provided for the fullest exploitation of the black agitators in the U.S. The report goes on to suggest that American blacks can be persuaded to identify themselves with foreign blacks to bring political pressures on Americans. “If American blacks increasingly identify the struggle against apartheid with their domestic civil rights struggle they could bring interest in the situation in the South to a level seldom achieved by any foreign policy issue.”

This study was made under subsidy of the U.S. Government, the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace being a tax-free foundation, this being the imprint of a State Department “grey” operation meaning openly unofficial, but secretly official. Then there is the close connection between the CFR and the U.S.-S.A. leader Exchange Programme which for many years has been enjoying active support by certain prominent Afrikaners, read liberal Afrikaners.

The tragic truth to be faced by decent men everywhere, be they white, black brown or yellow, is these American policy makers have, ever since World War II, been leading the West into closer and closer co-existence with murderous communist regimes. South Africa has been brought face to face with the political insanity and criminality which today exist in certain high American policy-making circles.

Furthermore, it provides us with final proof that present day American officialdom cannot in any way be relied upon, except to turn against its own allies.

HIGH TREASON

An excellent revolutionary situation presents itself in Africa. (Chou en Lai.)

The Rivonia case ended on June 24, 1964 when the eight convicted men decided not to appeal their sentences. Three of the accused Nelson Mandela, Walter Sisulu and Govan Mbeki had at all stages accepted full responsibility for their actions.

What were the reasons leading to these convictions? At this trial the State revealed a detailed plan for revolution by means of waging widespread sabotage and guerilla warfare and thereafter a full-scale rebellion with military assistance from foreign countries.

Communist infiltration in South Africa was exposed together with all international intrigue, including “front” organizations such as “Christian Action” and the Anti-Apartheid Movement.

The trial proved on the evidence provided that the accused were no more victims of racialism and apartheid as the World Press suggested, but that they were Communists and using Communist methods to attain their goals, namely Communist Goals.

The World Press had been propagating against the White Government of South Africa for the evils of apartheid. This was the keyword to destroy all law and order in South Africa and to establish a black Communist government. As we saw the propaganda against Rhodesia with no segregation of the races, the excuse was “majority” rule with the same agenda to destroy law and order and establish a Communist regime. The attacks on stable countries are the same, just the words are different. The results are the same chaos, poverty and suffering for all races with the end goal to destroy the white race.

OPERATION MAYIBUYE

This was the important six-page typed document found by the South African Police in Room #1 of the outbuildings on Lilliesleaf Farm at Rivonia (the name of the case) on July 11, 1963.

This is a blueprint of for sabotage, guerilla warfare and revolution:

- (a) Part 1...An evaluation of the situation in general in South Africa
- (b) Part 2...The division of the Republic of South Africa into base areas.
- (c) Part 3...The plan for armed attack and its conversion into a full-scale revolt by the masses i.e. the Revolution.
- (d) Part 4...The internal organization of the armed revolt.
- (e) Part 5...The detailed application of the plan (*modus operandi*).
- (f) Part 6...Miscellaneous: The High Command and its organization.

The “evaluation” of the South Africa situation was of an unmistakably military nature, the plan it involved showing marked resemblance to the Algerian and Cuban Revolutions, The document said in part, “Rather, as in Cuba the general uprising must be sparked off by organized and well prepared guerilla operations during the course of which the masses of the people will be drawn in and armed.”

THE ACCUSED

Nelson Mandela

We will only deal with Nelson Mandela because of the importance, because who he is to later become the first black Communist president of South Africa. The other accused are also important, but played a lesser role in the present sorry state South Africa finds herself.

Mandela admitted being the vice president of the African National Congress (A.N.C.) who went underground after the A.N.C. was banned in 1960. He was a founder of the body called UMKHONTO WE SISWE, dubbed “Spear of the Nation” the military wing of the A.N.C. which directed sabotage operations which had been organized to later go over to guerilla warfare.

Mandela drafted the document “HOW TO BE A GOOD COMMUNIST”. In an exercise book of 94 pages in his own handwriting he admitted to be a Communist, so there is no way he can deny what he is and what he aimed the future of South Africa to be. Another handwritten document also revealed his activities with the *Irgun Zvai Leumi* an Israeli underground military organization and another book revealed his dealings with *Hukballahap* which is a Philippine underground organization.

Mandela wrote: “In our own country the struggles of the oppressed people are guided by the South African Communist Party and inspired by its politics.”

The transition from capitalism to socialism and the liberation of the working class from the yoke cannot be affected by slow changes or by reforms as reactionaries and liberals often advise, but by revolution. One, therefore, must be a revolutionary and not a reformist.”

Today we see an International Mandela Day on July 18th, with the blessing of the United Nations General Assembly. It is a day of showing goodwill towards your neighbor, celebrated all over the globe. The Secretary General of the UN said on July 16th, 2011 Mandela is a role model and an inspirational figure. He has been a lawyer, freedom fighter, political prisoner, peacemaker and president. A healer of nations and a mentor to generations and is a living symbol of wisdom, courage and integrity. This propaganda is proof of the media’s bias against the Whites in South Africa and over the Western World.

Walter Sisulu, one of the accused made this very revealing statement when he said, “The illusion of Europeans that non-Europeans will stand with their white oppressors in the event of a crisis by the clash between Africa and the white supremacy is baseless and without foundation.” (Liberals please take notice!).

Nelson Mandela and six others of the nine accused in the Rivonia trial were found guilty in short for the following:

1. Sabotage, committing acts of violence
2. Conspiracy to commit guerilla warfare, violent revolution within the country.
3. Contravention of the suppression of the Communism Act, furthering the objectives of Communism.
4. Contravention of the Criminal Law Amendment Act of 1953 alleged the accused solicited, received and paid out money for the purpose of sabotage.

Nelson Mandela was found guilty on all four counts.

The judge said that the African National Congress was communist-dominated and at all times retained the political guidance of the “Spear of the Nation”.

“It is also clear from his evidence, considered in relation to the statement of Mandela, and in relation to the documentary evidence, that the latter was the prime mover in the organization.”

The crime of which the accused was found guilty was HIGH TREASON, the penalty - life in prison.

Organized Press of course then and now is painting these criminals as heroes and martyrs, i.e. Mandela going to prison for the “noble” deed of fighting Apartheid! Of course the facts were so twisted by the Press so the people all over the world would be justified in interfering with the internal affairs of South Africa.

The battle for the soul of Western Man is a secret battle staged in the offices of the vast international banking houses from whence the order are issued to Washington, London, Paris, Bonn, Zurich and others.

It is struggle for international power, waged by the forces of world Communism and Zionism against the racial and national integrity of the nations of the West.

South Africa is the greatest prize of the continent of Africa. These power masters are inside the gates of South Africa using the puppets Mandela, Zuma etc. for the destruction of the White population to establish the Utopia of Communism and the complete destruction of Christianity.

Double standards on the question of Race are now so common that they no longer evoke even surprise.

Discrimination became the mortal sin it can be perpetrated by anyone, except Western Man. When practiced by Western Man he is called a racist monster. When practiced by a black or a Jew the sound of silence is deafening. With this tool by and large South Africa fell to black Marxist rule and the Whites, especially the Afrikaners who are guilt-ridden and stampeded by these smear phrases which are part and parcel of the psychological warfare by which millions of people today are intimidated, controlled and like Pavlov's dogs – made prisoners of their own induced fears.

“Racist” and “racial discrimination” are tow of the worst of these smears of the Left. They are not defined so that the victim may disagree or defend himself. They are so hurled, as accusations of some vicious crime, that they presume automatic condemnation without a trial.

The denial that racial differences do exist and denial that serious harm can result from integration is an intellectual dishonesty, no matter how the communist and Zionist et al dress this up.

It is sheer intellectual dishonesty to ignore the basic laws of God as genetics of the facts revealed by anthropology and the lessons of history.

If the enemy of Western Man was familiar with the laws of Life and Nature which are Divine Laws, they could not honestly advocate doctrines which would ruin biological, sociological and political results.

This “anti-race” (anti-White) laws had their origins directly from the anti-God belly of the beast of Communism and Zionism.

The method of the Communist-Zionist in the U.S.A. and South Africa is race mixing and integration. This has been the tactical advantage of undermining and destroying the historic constitutional structures of every nation in the West.

In their universal drive for racial equality and against “discrimination” which is inciting the black man against the White man, the Zionist and Communist Jews have found a doctrine which not only makes a small nucleus of Jews in the world perfectly safe to practice their own rigid and national exclusiveness and at the same time to pursue their own international objectives.

It is a doctrine which also effectively conceals from the non-Jewish masses of the world one of the fundamental objects of their whole drive for “racial equality”, and against “racism” which is precisely this, safety and immunity for themselves.

A role of this kind, namely that of instilling in the Whites a guilt complex, and propounding into the consciousness of the blacks that they are being oppressed by the Whites, is precisely the role that Harry Oppenheimer and his English-language newspaper monopoly, have been effectively playing in South Africa.

Yet, it is known how effectively the Jews kept to themselves and have been more race-conscious than any other race of the planet, as Samuel Untermyer put it in 1933 that they “are the aristocrats” of the world, the Gentiles being their slaves and the Jew their master.

The most glaring racial problem in South Africa is the black man. But our most critical racial problem is the Jew.

There is nothing being written or preached or done under the “civil rights” slogan today and in its allied, high pressure campaigns against “racism” and “racial discrimination” in the U.S. and elsewhere in the world INCLUDING SOUTH AFRICA – which is not in accordance with the planning and instructions laid down by the Jewish communist murderer Joseph Pogany when he came over to the U.S. in 1922.

Another source of information, in the matter of those who are forcing the mixing of the White and Black races, is the following quotation from the

book, entitled “A Racial programme for the Twentieth Century” written in 1912 by Israel Cohen.

The quotation was written into the U.S. Congressional Record for June 7, 1957, and is self-explanatory:

We must realize that our party’s most powerful weapon is racial tension. By propounding into the consciousness of the dark races that for centuries they have been oppressed by the Whites, we can mould them to the program of the Communist Party. In America, we will aim for the subtle victory. While inflaming the Negro minority against the Whites, we will endeavor to instill in the Whites a guilt complex for their exploitation of the Negroes. We will aid the Negroes to rise in prominence in every walk of life, in the professions and in the world of sports and entertainment. With this prestige the Negroes will be able to intermarry with the Whites and will begin a process which will deliver America to our cause.

By “racism” Jews mean that any recognition of race as a reality is without any foundation!

Any emphasis on the importance of race, by Gentiles, is immediately pounced on by them as evil and a menace to peace and the well-being of mankind.

Race is all:

Race consciousness and discrimination on the basis of race, are absolutely essential to any race’s survival. This is essential for the homogeneity, the solidarity and the formidable strength without which no people can long survive. That is why the Jews are so fiercely for it, for themselves. This is because they mean not only to survive but to become master; and fiercely against it for us, because we are the intended victim, and they wish to emasculate us and paralyze us to the point where we cannot thwart their determination to put us in bondage.

Unless we recover our race consciousness and maintain it and heighten it and live by it, we shall die. William Gayley Simpson in “Which Way Western Man”.

The Western leader are unaware (in denial?) of the intense racial activity that animates and activates World Jewry and Zionism.

They think they are dealing with individuals, whereas they are dealing with a **militant racist and nationalist group** that has declared war on Western man's concepts of religion, race and nation and on his very civilization.

It is they who originated spearheaded and conducted the 365 day 40 year - long grinding assault on the racial backbone of white South Africa.

America and the West including South Africa are in decline after 1994 with the take-over of the Marxist African Congress and South Africa has been destroyed in totality.

The building of a black messiah with the name of Nelson Mandela fits right in with what Oscar Levy said in 1912. When Mandela was released by the spineless South African government the liberal media basked in the sun with an enormous propaganda victory against all reason. Mandela rose through the layers of pomp and glory with the liberals in awe of their idol as if he was a successful politician or head of state.

A huge rock concert was held in London where the proceeds went to terrorist organizations. Mandela visited the U.S.A and had a triumphant meeting with the President.

Mandela is no world statesman, nor is he a conquering general. He is a convicted traitor released before time by a spineless government.

Mandela is a communist terrorist and a puppet of the most brutal organization the world has yet seen. As a slave of the Party Mandela knows well that the slightest deviation from the Party line will mean oblivion if not death.

Another example of the liberal media propaganda machine is Desmond Tutu, winner of the Nobel "peace prize". Like Mandela, news of Tutu and his sayings and doings – most of them idiotic – monopolized the attention of the media – for a while. Having served his purpose Tutu is now in oblivion. Interesting he criticized the A.N.C regime and is now again in the limelight (June, 2012). Tutu stated very clearly that the Whites must be killed and their

children poisoned, excellent man of the cloth, no doubt! He is not a racist at all, only a Bishop and Black “nationalist.”

Getting back to Rhodesia.

What happened in South Africa is a carbon copy of what took place in Rhodesia. Mandela was not the first to be taken up into a high place and shown the political kingdoms of the world.

In Rhodesia it was Joshua Nkomo, who was also imprisoned by the Rhodesian government for high treason. While in prison he was, just like Mandela, being built up by the liberal media as the coming savior of the black masses in Zimbabwe.

Britain with the full support of America and their unseen rulers, promised him he would be the Prime Minister of a liberated Zimbabwe.

The media built up the fiction that Nkomo would be a statesman of world stature. Just as with South Africa the pressure was applied to the White government to hand over the country to Black rule, to release Nkomo. The Rhodesian government gave way and released Nkomo and tribal violence immediately escalated. Just like in South Africa black violence immediately increased after the release of Mandela.

In Rhodesia vast sums of money all of a sudden appeared to defend black terrorists and to aid Nkomo in his political campaigns. From the same sources Mandela had ample funds for his party, the A.N.C.

The media agreed to play down the appalling brutalities by Nkomo’s thugs. Nkomo’s thugs were portrayed as paragons of democratic moderation, provoked beyond bearing by the excesses of the “White regime”, just like in the case of Mandela.

On the release of Nkomo, just like Mandela, he was taken on a tour of the West. He was interviewed by an adoring media, politicians and businessmen just like Mandela he advised the West how to best resolve their problems.

Instead of telling off both Mandela and Nkomo, the West groveled at the feet of these two gangsters. Both of these two stressed the duty of the West to pour more money into the bottomless pit of Black Africa.

Suddenly without any reason the unseen powers turned their back on Nkomo and decided Robert Mugabe would be the “leader” or “messiah” of Zimbabwe.

“Emerging Africa” has aptly been described as resembling, in many of its parts, nothing much as the convulsions of madmen.

British Governments, Fabian Socialists, the American Foundations, the council on Foreign Relations, and the State Department were all making fitting contributions to this continental tragedy.

All over Africa we see the symbols of what “Uhuru” (“freedom”) brought to the blacks— starvation, disease, strife, tyranny, plunder and murder.

This madness is depended on a witch’s brew of corruption, violence, American dollars and Marxism.

It has always been assumed that the American State Department was actively fighting Communism. The underlying fact however is that the Department is not opposed to Communism.

Vice President Nixon in 1956 said the following:

Because we took the position we did (against British, French intervention in Egypt) the people of Africa and Asia know now that we do not have one standard of law for the West and one for the East. They know, too, that the United States has no illusions about the ‘white man’s burden’ or white supremacy.

The Suez affair marked a turning point in history because the United States deliberately deserted the colonial powers which had been its traditional friends. We pledged our support to nations formerly held as colonies by the major powers.

This statement marked the stage in African affairs when the State Department formally deserted the West and the white man in Africa and adopted as its own policy of “Africa for the Africans” – a policy which since that time, has been unctuously presented as part of the United States “mission of freedom, equality and democracy” throughout the world.

The truth is the movement “Africa for the Africans” originated from outside Africa and not amongst the Blacks of Africa.

It was masterminded in Moscow, New York and has derived most of its driving force from White and Negro Communists outside Africa. The “father” of this pan-African movement was W.E.B. DuBois, the American Negro who collected over seventy Communist Front affiliations by the time he was allowed to leave the United States in 1959 to go to Nkrumah’s Ghana.

This “Africa for the Africans” policy is always being represented by the State Department as a means of winning Africa for the Free World against communism of course all the evidence points to the opposite, this policy opened the continent widely to communism.

One would have expected the rapidly gathering chaos in Africa to present an easily understood object lesson in the penalty which must be paid when America’s African policies are based on lack of principle and concepts totally false to reality! But not so to the State Department!

The clique of Internationalists who control it from behind the scenes are playing for much higher stakes. Their ultimate aim is to force not only the remnants of the American Republic, but the entire African continent, into the straight-jacket of International Socialism. Their brazen “Africa for the Africans” policy is their scheme for the collectivist takeover of Africa. (As we see today the year 2012 with the military in Africa under American command, namely Africom).

This is a diabolical policy to destroy with their money, financial help, missionaries, etc. the backs of the civilized European powers and driving the Whites from Africa.

And if there is anyone who still thinks it is Peking and Moscow who want to establish communism and to eliminate Western civilization in Africa we have the statements of Secretary of State Dean Rusk, who said in 1965 at the Shoreham Hotel in Washington:

Most of Africa’s Unresolved problems lie in the Southern end of the continent where government by consent of the governed has not yet been achieved. This area includes the Republic of South Africa, Rhodesia and the Portuguese territories of Angola and Mozambique.”

The crime of these countries - they have White governments! They are also the most law-abiding, prosperous and anti-Communist countries in Africa. And yet the State Department, given the opportunity, would apparently be quite prepared to open up these countries to the same orgy of chaos and corruption that characterizes the rest of the continent, all in the name of “independence and democracy”!

Mr. Rusk emphasized the American position by stating:

The United States has a deep interest in events in Southern Africa. In our dealings with those areas our position is based firmly on the belief that the governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed.”

Chou en Lai announced during his tour of Africa that the continent is not only ripe for revolution, but for intimidation, infiltration, or just plain take-over by well-organized groups!

But then he knew very well how American policy is paving the way of eliminating the White man from Africa and the eventual take-over of the whole of the continent by International Socialists and Communists. The Rusk statements put America squarely on the side of Soviet Russia and Red China.

It was in July 1966 at the Stellenbosch University when Sir Francis de Guingand first put the pleas forward for a Peace Corps on the American pattern in South Africa to work with neighboring Black territories during student vacations.

The promotion of this idea was the direct result and follow through of the visit to South Africa by Robert Kennedy.

Robert Kennedy visited South Africa on invitation by NUSAS, the Progressive Party and the Black Sash, all powerful Left wing financed by big money.

This peace corps is “an elite ‘peace corps’ of millionaires and industrialists being formed here to develop African states neighboring industrialized South Africa... The corps will be known as the South Africa Development Association. As a first step, 100 of the country’s largest firms have been asked to pledge the loan of managerial, technical and professional talent to aid developing African countries...The programme also will form an information

service to exchange agricultural and technical know-how...The group has asked the South Africa Government for support in the venture. And if the association in gaining the Government's support then it opened a slight crack in the do-or-die segregationist outlook of the South African Government might have been brought about.

“The association was inspired by the example of three wealthy men, among them South Africa millionaire Anton Rupert...”

The rich men of South Africa seemed confident that everything's going their way politically. They were widely distributing a document presenting their political views.

The facts of the matter were the millionaires would like nothing better than for South Africans to leave the determination of their destiny to economic forces, to be controlled of course by these men of big money.

The South African Foundation's objectives were to work towards “radical constitutional reforms”.

The Hermanus Group drew up their own formula to oust the Government, the men of the Institute of Race Relations whose very energy is bent on bringing about political change; the men of the S.A. Institute of International Affairs; the principle of our English universities which have become fertile breeding grounds for “liberalism”, the 69 influential gentlemen who, a few years ago, drew up and signed their “Declaration of Beliefs”, a document of leftist-liberal double-think and double-talk, if ever there was one; the barons of the S.A. Associated Newspapers and the Argus Group, such as Oppenheimer. Engelhard and Clive Corder, who have been conducting an all-out war on our national conservatism, integrity and way of life; the many left-overs from Oppenheimer's Torch Commando, his United Democratic Front and his United Trust Fund, who also tried so hard to break the back of our national conservatism: - and for the men of the U.S.-S.A. Leader Exchange Programme, the highly skilled American operation designed by America's totalitarian liberals to penetrate Afrikaans leadership circles in order to exert influence and pressures at the right places, in order to bring about “rapid changes” in South Africa and its Government.

Do not forget the American multi-millionaires involved with the Foundation, namely Charles William Engelhard and Clarence B. Randall. Both were

influential members of the U.S. Council on Foreign Relations, which today virtually runs the American Government and which through its agencies the U.N., UNESCO, the World Bank, the I.M.F. and other internationalist agencies which plague the world today, are carrying out revolutionary policies inside the U.S., and throughout the world.

These men were responsible for 90% of the slanderous image of South Africa that was projected abroad.

They have spearheaded the pressures designed to “liberalize” apartheid measures, and in particular to break down what they called “petty apartheid: in the spheres of sport, job reservation, group areas and other spheres.”

The South Africa Foundation became a sort of plush liberal club in which “moderates” from both language groups have been able to get together. Or in other words wherein prominent Afrikaners could gradually be habituated to the rarified atmosphere of Main Street and Brenthurst, and in the process became neutralized as Nationalists and Conservatives.

The most striking success has been the Federale Mynbou-Oppenheimer financial merger. This can be traced directly to the Foundation which has furnished the operational base and created the tolerant atmosphere of professional brotherhood so rapidly developing today between businessmen and others of erstwhile conflicting political views.

What is being reaped today is the “liberalism” insidiously creeping up on us, on all sides – the bitter fruit of Nationalists allowing the Foundation to pose as a benevolent patriotic society and permitting its political objectives to be shielded from press and public criticism.

For anyone with insight it was clear even with the Foundation’s nonsensical talk about being “non-political” and “avoiding involvement” in politics, this organization’s workings, its human composition and ultimate aims, knows that it is an organization which from the very beginning has sought to develop itself into a powerful political pressure group, whose only object could be, and would be to bring about the “radical constitutional” changes as predicted by C.M. O’Dowd.

The United States and its chosen instrument of foreign policy, the United Nations, have taken what could eventually become a major step in the

direction of war in Africa, by imposing mandatory sanctions against Rhodesia and by direction South Africa to hand over South West Africa to the U.N.

The part played by the United States in this sorry business is just what could be expected from policy-makers in the State Department who tolerate violence, rapine, aggression, slavery and despotism throughout the world – so long as these offences are committed by Black Africa or by the Communists. And yet they pretend that Rhodesia and South Africa, two of the most peaceful countries in the world, are threats to peace!

They are completely silent about the atrocities that have taken place as a daily routine in Soviet Russia, Red China, Algeria, Cuba and elsewhere.

With this mentality they planned the subjugation of Katanga a few years ago, when their “peace” troops waged an offensive war against a small defenseless state, killing and bombing innocent civilians and children. (This mentality is still doing the same, now in the name of fighting “terrorism”).

All this is happening because the United States has not one central government, but two.

One center is the legal constitutional government.

The other is that of the collectivist one-worlders whose members are carrying out a secret revolutionary purpose embracing the U.S. and the world, without making any attempt to tell the American people what they are doing or asking their consent.

Their organization is the Council on Foreign Relations, which has accurately been identified as America’s invisible government. Their political instruments are the U.N., UNESCO, the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank and all their allied agencies.

They had intended to conquer Southern Africa piecemeal via internal subversion and national liberation movements, first Rhodesia, then Portuguese territories, then South Africa – never all three at once.

In an official report published by the U.S. State Department on March 14, in which South Africa was described as being “an obstacle to the successful application of U.N. sanctions against Rhodesia.” The rest of the report had

this to say, "...failure on the part of the international community to assist in resolving the Rhodesian issue will endanger the principles of the U.N. Charter and will give rise to grave consequences for both U.N. and United States interests in the stability of Africa."

The year of 1965 marked the visit of Robert Kennedy to South Africa to "doublespeak" White South Africans into accepting the "changes" in world politics and to eventually accept Black Communist rule in South Africa. The visit was with the blessings of the South Africa Foundation linked to UNESCO. Robert Kennedy's speeches were full of clichés about breaking down the entire political, economic, educational and social system in South Africa. Robert Kennedy was supported by the liberal English press, liberals and far-left businessmen like Anton Rupert a millionaire. While on tour and speaking at different meetings and parties two bridges of another kind were built by Dr. William O. Brown and Prof. Vernon McKay initiating and writing the Carnegie Endowment's battle blueprint for a U.N. war with South Africa.

On the scene came one Dr. Waldemar A Nielson, (President of the African-American Institute) who at the Congressional Hearings in Washington gave "evidence" at the Hearings comparing South Africa's policy of separate development to Nazism and called them "inhuman, un-Christian, and undemocratic and in defiance of every principle of the equality and dignity of man."

The finances for the African-American Institute are from the Rockefeller, Carnegie and Ford Foundations.

From the foreword of "AFRICAN BATTLELINES – AMERICAN POLICY CHOICES IN SOUTHERN AFRICA" by Waldemar Nielsen we read the following:

It takes no special gift of clairvoyance to foresee trouble in the southern part of Africa, where the confrontation of growing Black Nationalism and established white rule carries the threat of race war and international conflict. In some instances, notably in the Republic of South Africa, the whites are entrenched in power and show no sign of yielding or compromising....

The main forces at work and the policy of considerations which flow from them seem peculiarly suited to the format of the series of Policy Books of the Council on Foreign Relations...

The Council was fortunate in persuading Waldemar A Nielsen, President of the African-America Institute, to write this book. He knows Africa at first hand. He has served for the past two years as chairman of a discussion group on southern Africa which has met at the Council....

*In addition to the news and views which came out of the sessions of the discussion group, Mr. Nielsen had the benefit of the advice of a number of specialists invited to meet and discuss his manuscript with him. The Council wishes to thank the following who were present at a special review meeting: **Vernon McKay** (chairman), Ernest A. Gross, Thomas Karis, Helen Kitchen, George Loft, John Marcum and **Edwin S. Munger.**”*

Ernest A. Gross is a trustee of the Carnegie Endowment, a pro-Communist and the same man who as Counsel for Liberia and Ethiopia prosecuted South Africa before the World Court at The Hague.

Edwin S. Munger helped found the Leader Exchange Programme and helped to bring it to South Africa.

Here follows a paragraph from Nielsen’s book, very damning proof of the attack against South Africa:

“Precluding Communist influence in southern Africa, however, must be a derivative rather than a direct goal of U.S. policy. No clearer case of the sterility of a purely negative anti-Communist approach can be found. The United States will succeed through the vigor and effectiveness of its constructive efforts **in accelerating progress toward self-determination and majority control in southern Africa** - the only real chance of blocking Communist influence – or it will be left with only three alternatives, all disagreeable and damaging:

- (a) it could in the face of growing racial strife and violence, merely do nothing, that is, resign itself to the inevitability of widening Communist influence in the areas; or (b) it could join with white racist governments in a joint effort to fight black African political advance, a course bound to throw all Africa into the arms of Communists and to undercut the spiritual and political basis of American leadership of the free world; or (c) **it could take a line paralleling that of China or Russia in violent and revolutionary assault on white resistance, a course perhaps**

preferable to the others but obviously dangerous and acceptable only under extreme necessity (bold print the ed.)

When one sees the prominent Afrikaners like Dr. Anton Rupert giving a man like Robert Kennedy the V.I.P. treatment and when we see the S.A. Foundation doing the same thing; when we see leading Afrikaners being neutralized as nationalists and conservatives in organization like the S.A. Foundation; and when we see prominent Afrikaners unable to, and positively refusing to identify their enemies in organizations like the Leader Exchange Programme – then is it any wonder we see “liberalism” rearing its ugly head more and more in Afrikaans academic, church, press, financial and political circles?

This attitude became the middle of the road mentality which made it possible for the liberal enemies of the Afrikaners to undermine all that built-up by the Afrikaner nation in the past. This mentality made it possible for a Robert Kennedy to bring his poison to South Africa. There is no way in a country like South Africa to have a vague political policy it is either black or white in the literal racial sense of the word.

More information about Robert Kennedy is very appropriate to mention. The South African Government was not eager to grant him a visa after Kennedy received an invitation from the student body NUSAS (National Union for South African Students). Kennedy accepted the invitation from this pro-Communist organization only after he was informed the organization’s Communist policies were less dangerous than those of the Nationalist Government.

The Liberal Establishment made sure Kennedy got his visa. It is important to note Kennedy being the most hostile anti-South African personalities in the United States and who inter alia played a big role in the Kennedy Administration’s tough anti-apartheid stand at the U.N. The following was said in the Manchester Union Leader, New Hampshire:

Since it seems quite apparent to this newspaper that Bobby Kennedy is using his proposed visit to South Africa only as a means of gaining more Negro votes in the state of New York, it would appear entirely proper for the government of South Africa to refuse him entrance into their country. There is no reason why South Africa should be involved in New York politics and injure their own nation in the process.

A visit by Sen. Kennedy to South Africa will not make him any friendlier toward that nation but then he would be able to say: 'I was there'. This would give his criticisms of South Africa more authority than if he had not been allowed to go to South Africa.

This newspaper has never heard of any of the Kennedy children going to school with Negroes or of the Kennedys even employing any Negroes, but they just love the Negroes – or shall we say, they just love the Negro votes.

A visit by Sen. Kennedy to South Africa would make things even more difficult for that nation in its internal affairs, as well as its foreign relations. It would accomplish nothing and could do very great harm.

The leaders of South Africa are properly determined to keep the White and Black races separate and to give each a chance to develop to the fullest of their capacity.

*Bobby Kennedy will admit to none of this. Even if it was proved to him, he would not **care** for the proof because his one objective, as this newspaper sees it, is to make his attacks on South Africa more effective so that, in return, he can garner more Negro votes in the State of New York.*

There is absolutely no reason why South Africa should lend itself to being made into a doormat for Bobby Kennedy's political ambitions.

In the opinion of this newspaper, Bobby Kennedy is the most vicious and most dangerous political leader in the United States today. It would make no more sense to us for South Africa to admit Bobby Kennedy and to let him make speeches there that it would be to take a viper into one's bed.

Robert Kennedy's political performance may also be judged from his treatment of anti-communist Moise Tshombe of Katanga.

The Senate committee questioned why the State Department denied the anti-communist Tshombe a visa to visit America while granting royal welcomes to numerous communist dictators and pro-communist puppets. At the same time when Tshombe was denied entry to the U.S., the State Department and Attorney General Robert Kennedy, granted visas to Holden Roberto, leader of the Angola terrorist movement and Mario de Andrade, leader of the communist faction among the Angolan terrorists.

The Senate committee learned that De Andrade, as a communist, was ineligible for a visa, until the State Department and Attorney General Robert Kennedy ruled that his visit was in the best interests of the U.S. and granted a waiver!

In their reaction to this situation the Senate Committee said in his report: “There is unjustifiable inconsistency in a policy which arbitrarily excludes friends of the U.S. who are not excludable under the law, while granting visas to known communists and mass murderers, who are sworn enemies of this country, and whose exclusion is called for by law.”

Holden Robert and Mario De Andrade were not the only enemies of the United States who were welcomed to America when Tshombe was being persecuted and finally crushed by the joint UN-US action while Robert Kennedy was Secretary General.

While Robert Kennedy was Attorney General the ban was lifted on sending pro-communist propaganda through the mail. In nine months an estimated 8 million packages of communist propaganda materials from communist countries like China, Russia and Poland, etc. were imported into the United States, for which the American taxpayers paid the postage. This red propaganda was delivered to schools, homes, churches, etc.

Robert Kennedy was directly responsible for the arrest of General Edwin Walker, a decorated war hero and outspoken anti-communist. He was arrested on October 1, 1962 on charges of conspiracy and insurrection.

American Liberalism

American Liberalism of today had increasingly become a divisive force in South African politics.

Its agents and propaganda had penetrated Afrikaner centers of power and influence, and were persuading Afrikaners that their interests and aspirations could be reconciled with those of the present-day liberalism – namely with the American liberal establishment, from which the world-wide struggle for the elimination of racial distinctions and cultural differences was being conducted!

American liberalism wanted to put South Africa on the road to Black government. There was only one way, apart from military attack, in which to do this – change South Africa from within. For this the first requirement was to break the Afrikaner's firm hold on South African politics.

That hold could only be broken if Afrikaners were divided politically, with one group favoring American liberalism at the expense of Afrikaner Nationalism.

The “new” liberals firstly found themselves grounded with the British, but later changed their affiliation to the United States.

In the post-war era these liberal forces had gradually switched their connections from London to Washington and New York, and they are now in the phase with the American-directed programme and operations.

On the other side of English speaking liberalism we found the English speaking nationalists who ranged from themselves on the side of Rhodesia against Britain and the U.S. – represented a set of political and moral values closely related to those of the Afrikaner Nationalism.

Although English speaking, they shared the basic principles on which Afrikaner Nationalists grounded their security, and they were readily recognizing the threat to survival as coming NOT from the ranks of Afrikaner Nationalists or from the Right, but from the liberal power centers in the English-speaking world, namely from the Left.

After the assassination of Prime Minister, Dr. Hendrik Verwoerd on September 6, 1966 South African politics started to turn drastically towards the Left. During the National Party Congress of the Cape which ended in Port Elizabeth on September 4, it was apparent the Party leaders were still involved in flushing out any conservative dissidents by way of challenges, threats and never ending formal resolutions of full confidence in the Party, its principles and its leadership.

Never before in the political history had South Africa been intimidated, repudiated, smeared and threatened so often by so many, as have the so-called “recalcitrants” by John Vorster and his henchmen.

Mr. Vorster's outward policy was masterminded and blueprinted by Afrikaner liberals and the forces of Big Money. It can be guaranteed to bring us peace and prosperity, but it spells at the same time the sell-out of the National Party and the surrender of our White nation on the installment plan.

The green light was given for every liberal faction in Afrikanerdom to emerge from the shadows to launch attacks on their conservative enemies.

Mr. Vorster was fully aware from the beginning that his policies would involve radical political changes and a new political direction and furthermore, it cannot be fully implemented until the back of our conservative politics is broken.

John Vorster was elected a conservative, but he went more and more to the Left. Vorster found himself more and more with the Afrikaner liberal establishment and Big Money.

It is the blunt truth that the divisive and destructive element in Afrikanerdom was the Afrikaans speaking liberal establishment with which John Vorster identified him-self. It is this very liberal establishment in the National Party which became the cancer to later cause the death of the National Party.

The South Africa Foundation's widely distributed document gave a glimpse of the "outward" policy and the shape of things to come.

This document, presented by one of the managers of Harry Oppenheimer's Anglo-American Corporation, said South Africa will follow the "normal pattern" of political and social and economic development from minority rule through a liberal era to a welfare state, and in the process the race question will be solved, or perhaps turn out never to have existed except as a cover for economic cleavages.

The document claimed that **economic development** would of itself finally determine the nature of South African society, and went on to make the remarkable prediction that in about 1980 South Africa would introduce "radical constitutional reforms" entering an era of liberalism that would finally resolve the country's racial divisions.

It did not however predict a **change of government** as a pre-requisite of this new liberal outlook. This it said would evolve naturally as a result of South

Africa's phenomenal economic development and would without any serious disturbance!

It must be emphasized that this policy was masterminded by liberals and forces of Big money – and will be a sell-out of the National Party to them. Eventually this will lead to the demise and the death of our White population.

The follow-up of this document stated the South Africans should accept the plans of the liberals of the West a “adapt or die” or “make you an offer you can't refuse” situation.

It is not only the National Party to blame, the blame is also on the conservatives who just stay out of the ring, refusing to take a stand to stop this slide into liberalism, using the excuse they do this to not to disturb the “unity” of Afrikanerdom. It will pay any one in Europe, United States and the United Kingdom to be aware of politicians who preach “change”, “new norms”, “new thinking” this is not for the well-being of the White Race.

Prime Minister Vorster was calling on Rhodesia to give up its U.D.I. because it was an empty shell and an embarrassment to some of her best friends. The liberal newspaper commented, “We will be better off with a black Rhodesia that with it as a white tinderbox!”

Mr. Vorster's own statement was that Rhodesia was “the fly in the ointment.” Vorster and his fellow liberals came to regard Zambia as the key country for their new outward policy in Africa; and as Rhodesia constitutes a principal source of tension and is an impediment to the friendship and “stability” which Mr. Vorster and company are working for in Zambia, it is quite natural that he and his Foreign Affairs Department **would** come to regard Rhodesia as “the fly in the ointment”!

As Minister of Justice under Dr. Hendrik Verwoerd, Vorster gained the reputation of being an uncompromising right-winger, and everyone in South Africa and abroad felt that South Africa was going to lean further to the Right under his administration. But instead he has gone steadily to the Left.

Ever since the assassination of Dr. Verwoerd on September 6, 1966, the National Party under the leadership of John Vorster started to decline. This descent had the nature of a classical Greek tragedy.

The National Party celebrated its unprecedented twenty years in power in May 1968, the longest period a party has governed South Africa continuously.

The theme of the celebrations at Parow, Cape on May 17 and 18 emphasized by speaker after speaker was that the Nationalist Government had brought South Africa 20 years of stability and unprecedented development; that it had changed South Africa into a free, independent Republic; that its policies had proved to be the only correct ones for the country; that it had faithfully followed fundamental Afrikaner principles; - and that it was ready and able to rule for another 20 years.

There were warnings on the dangers South Africa faces from terrorists, from international Communism the O.A.U. (Organization of African Unity) and the U.N.

Delegates were lectured on the need for unity and on the dangers of internal dissent and strife in their own ranks.

To the delegates the Congress was a great success. Everything went smoothly and without a hitch all stood together and co-operated.

And, yet what they failed to do, and in particular the Prime Minister, John Vorster, was to present to the public the blueprint of the new “outward” policy and outline its implications in foreign affairs and in the domestic sphere. This outward policy was amongst other things, the need to increase contacts with the black nations, because of the dangers of being internationally isolated.

This theme to build relations with the black nations became an echo and refrain all this was based on the “history” of the past leader’s outward policy towards the black nations. In so doing all the policies, from foreign relations towards black nations and to sport, to keep it all segregated fell overboard.

“New speech”, “new thinking” and “new direction” became the norm of the “new generation” of a “new government” whereby what South Africa stood for and believed in were slowly eroded, destroyed and prepared for the “new South Africa” under black communist rule.

The role of the English press is well known in the world of liberalism, bordering on communism and their sometime blatant hatred towards the Afrikaner Nation. What is not so well-known is the hand played by the

Afrikaans press. The Afrikaans newspapers failed the Afrikaner Nation most terribly. The Afrikaans Press had been too uncritical against immigration, allowing the influx of blacks into the White cities to go on without control.

The Afrikaans newspaper editors who have been failing the Afrikaner are certainly not those who in the past, always stood firm in support of traditional principles and policies, and also four-square behind great leaders like Dr. Malan, Adv. Strijdom and Dr. Verwoerd, who for their part, unflinchingly stood up to “world opinion” and all the forces of the Left.

Those who failed the Afrikaner were those who were not defending and promoting our national conservative ideal, as articulated for a century and more by our greatest national leaders.

The liberal Afrikaans press said: “We suggest that Rhodesians should ask themselves whether U.D.I. has not become an empty shell – something which could have become more and more of a hindrance.” The editorial asked: “Was U.D.I and more important, is it now, really indispensable for the defense of the Rhodesian position?”

It said it cannot be denied that the declaration of independence had enormous symbolic meaning and psychological effect. It removed old uncertainties and fears and promised a new tomorrow.

“On the other hand it did not have the expected results outside,” said DIE BURGER.

“Independence has not been gradually accepted internationally and the chances that it will be recognized by even a single state in the world in the present and expected circumstances are negligible.

“Normal economic relations with her became less defensible if she maintains that she is a sovereign state.

“The question then arises whether U.D.I. has not become more a hindrance than a help to Rhodesia’s case.”

DIE BURGER said Rhodesia’s insistence that she is an independent state has become an embarrassment to her best friends.

Both the English and Afrikaans press did their best to undermine the morale in Rhodesia and in so doing forgot Rhodesia fought on the frontline against communism which were threatening everything, the future of the Whiteman in Africa, they were fighting for South Africa and Portugal. This betrayal towards Rhodesia by the media is a betrayal towards Portugal and South Africa as well. It is a betrayal of the forces of South Africa and Portugal fighting on the borders against the communist terrorists threatening our very survival it is a betrayal against our Heavenly Father and the foundations of our White civilization.

The English liberal press had an open praise for the new policy of this “changing world” and moving into Africa and this new “outward” policy of the South African Government, which of course was supported by the United States.

Appreciation was to the organizations who supported this new policy namely the Rotary, Lions International, the Round Table and the U.S.-S.A. Leader Exchange Programme (whose aim it was to bring “rapid social change” in South Africa), and not forgetting Mrs. Helen Suzman, who was the only Member of Parliament representing the very liberal Left Progressive Party.

The churches and affiliate organizations had as much if not more to help the downfall and destruction of both Rhodesia and South Africa.

The so-called South African Council of Churches, which is an affiliate of the World Council of Churches, set up a commission to investigate the political, economic, social and educational implications of its “Message to the People of South Africa”, the Rt. Rev B.B. Burnett, general secretary of the Council and former Bishop of Bloemfontein, said in December 1968.

The essence of this “Message” published in September, 1968, is that South Africa’s policy of separate development is “incompatible with the Christian Faith” and an offense against the entire Christian world.

It went so far as to label the “apartheid ideology” as a “false gospel” and a “form of resistance to the Holy Ghost.”

But bear in mind that for nearly 2,000 years before that, it was not un-Christian to maintain racial integrity or to practice segregation – the following important questions naturally present themselves:

1. When did segregation become un-Christian?
2. If segregation is un-Christian, why wasn't it un-Christian 15 years ago, or 25 years ago, or 1,000 years ago?
3. If segregation is in fact un-Christian, why then have our ministers and churches been misleading us for hundreds or thousands of years?

These political priests of the S.A. Council of Churches should also tell us by what and by whose authority they have set themselves up, as they do, as infallible arbiters of the consciences of mankind and of Christianity and as infallible instruments of the Will of God.

Furthermore, by whose authority are they conducting an ecclesiastical war on South Africa dogmatizing that integration (in effect mongrelization) is an indispensable condition of Christianity?

But of course these questions will not be answered.

The truth of the matter is by attacking segregation in the guise of Christianity, these political clergymen do not derive their authority from the Bible, or from the articles of faith of their own churches, but from the new man-made dogmas of the World Council of Churches.

It was the World Council of Churches which at Evanston, U.S.A. manufactured ecclesiastical Dogma A.D. 1954, viz: *Segregation on the grounds of race, color or ethnical origin in all its forms is contrary to the will of God and is in conflict with the Gospel and must be regarded as irreconcilable with Christian teachings about man and the essence of the Church of Christ.*

To this the World Council of Churches added: *There can be no objection in principle to interracial marriage. No state has the right to prohibit mixed marriages.*

And in 1956 it was the U.S. National Council of Churches, the main constituent of the World Council of Churches, which dogmatized that no church which is not integrated can be a Christian church, and called on the 147,000 American churches it represented to denounce all forms of segregation as a "sin" and to "contrary to the Will and design of God."

This dogmatization on the part of the World Council of Churches and the all-out attack by the South African Council of Churches on apartheid and on traditional attitudes, and their threats to their own congregations, is evidence to one of the most tragic phenomena of our time. It demonstrates the inroads that political priests and their “social gospel” have made in our Protestant churches.

Although fundamentally a political and sociological question, they are clearly pre-empting the doctrine of “integration” as though it were one of the Ten Commandments. Not only that but they stigmatized as immoral and un-Christian all who disagree with them!

These political priests assert *ad nauseum* that apartheid is a heresy against Christianity, but they would be more accurate to describe it as a heresy against international socialism and communism.

This becomes clear when we remember that the ministers who taught our forefathers had the Bible but no handbook on socialism; and that for them segregation was compatible to Christianity. Our modern minister, however, now have the Bible plus *Das Kapital*; and for them segregation has suddenly become incompatible with Christianity. But the only difference is socialism because the Bible has not changed. And if socialism is omitted, segregation and Christianity are still compatible.

Marx and Engels purposely gave their movement a religious base on the concepts of “the brotherhood of man” and “social justice”. The World Council of Churches and political priests have now adopted this “brotherhood of man” and “social justice” as a new base for their Christianity and so it is not difficult to understand why, and how, many sincere churchmen easily confuse Christianity with socialism and communism.

It is also understandable why church leaders once confused, desire to translate their “socialized” Christianity into political action; and to go to any lengths to intimidate their congregations in order to enforce their new social dogmas – their “social gospel” – in the guise of Christianity.

It also explains why the vast majority of political priests here, as well in England and the United States, gloss so lightly over the fundamental differences between Christianity and atheistic communism and are to be found in the ranks of the anti-anti-communists – and now marching shoulder-

to-shoulder with liberals, socialists and communists in the battle for de-segregation among White peoples – **instead** of coming out actively and militantly against the international communist conspiracy that aims at the total obliteration of Christian civilization – a conspiracy which is opposed to every tenet of Christian theology and philosophy.

It also explains why the leadership of Protestantism's hierarchy, as represented in the personnel of the World Council of Churches and the U.S. national Council of Churches, as well as the protagonists of the New Christianity throughout the world, including South Africa, are tragically bankrupt in both spiritual insight and moral courage, when it comes to fighting the collectivist-communist-totalitarian barbarism which threatens to engulf the world.

These political priests have so much time for preaching, promoting and forcing multiculturalism in the name of Christianity, but so little time for conserving for what we use to know as the Western Christian world.

The political priest made Multiracialism their universal panacea. They go even further: they imagine that the destruction of our national, racial and cultural characteristics is the short-cut to the solution of all the world's problems!

They also do not realize that all this is more disastrous for Protestantism than for any other form of Christianity because Protestantism is either an imperious, personal spiritual force connecting men's individuality with a Holy God – or else it loses all meaning.

These political priests are all zealots of the so-called World Council of Churches who are playing an important role in the revolutionary process of helping to usher in a New World Order.

The South Africa Council of Church must be called upon to make clear what and by whose authority they are labeling apartheid as a "false gospel" and conducting their political activities in the name of Christianity.

INSTRUMENT OF GLOBAL PERVERSION

The United Nations vote on November 19, 1968 approving the text of proposed international convention on war crimes against humanity – which blandly included the policy of apartheid in its category of crimes – another

example of the debased standards reached by this criminal and communist-orientated organization. (What is the case with apartheid in Israel?)

Attacks by the UN against South Africa started with the first session of the General Assembly in 1946. South Africa has been continually attacked and insulted and reviled. These attacks have been replied to and our policies have been explained, but our enemies have never taken any notice of our explanations.

Not only was it a financial burden to South Africa, but to be insulted on a daily basis raised the question if it would not be in the interest of South Africa to get out of this organization. It was not only South Africa who had to endure these hostilities, but also Rhodesia and Portugal.

The UN is wallowing in double-dealing, double-standards and hypocrisy so deep, that not only South Africa, but every self-respecting nation – white, black, yellow or brown should turn the searchlight on its origins, goals and revolutionary activities.

Regardless of its widely advertised objective of maintaining “international peace and security” by preventing wars, the goal of the U.N. is a one-world collectivist state.

The U.N.’s record in Africa speaks for itself, the naked aggression in Katanga and what they planned for Rhodesia and South Africa. The United Nations goal is the destruction of Southern Africa, in actual fact the destruction of the whole of Africa and the world.

UNITED STATES POLICY IN AFRICA SPELLS CHAOS AND COMMUNISM

This article was written by S.E.D. Brown and appeared in June, 1965 issue of “American Opinion” Belmont, Mass. U.S.A.

“Emerging Africa” has aptly been described as resembling in many of its parts, nothing so much as the convulsions of madmen. British Governments, Fabian Socialists, the American Foundations, the Council on Foreign Relations, and the U.S. State Department are all making fitting contributions to this continental tragedy.

All over Africa we are today seeing the new all-too-familiar symbols of “Uhuru” – starvation, disease, strife, tyranny, plunder, and murder. In the mad stampede for freedom, viability has been forgotten and new artificial nations have been created whose existence depends entirely on a witch’s brew of corruption, violence, American dollars, and Marxism. And chaos and corruption, bringing with them Communist infiltration and the threat of ultimate Communist control, now face even the most stable of new African countries.

Curiously, American policy in Africa is largely responsible for this state of affairs.

UNDERLYING FACT

For too long it has been assumed, against all the facts, that the American State Department is actively fighting communism.

*The underlying fact of its foreign policy throughout the world, including Africa, however, is that it is committed to check only the “externally aggressive” acts of Communism – which means that it is not opposed to Communism as an ideology. Actually, there can be no such thing as a “brand” of Communism which is not “externally aggressive”; for Communism, as anyone who is bothered to study it now knows, is dedicated to world revolution and world domination by any means possible – **that is its ideology.***

CAT OUT OF THE BAG

For too long, too, it has been assumed against all the facts that the American State Department has somehow, despite differences, always been basically on the side of Europe, and Western Christian civilization.

Actually, it was no less than former Vice President Nixon who let the cat of the bag when in 1956 he announced America’s break with Britain and France, at the time of Suez. He hailed this break as a “declaration of independence that has had an electrifying effect throughout the colored world.” He also said:

“Because we took the position we did (against British, French intervention in Egypt), the people of Africa and Asia know now that we walk with them as moral equals, that we do not have one standard of law for the West and one

for the East. They know too, that the United States has no illusions about the 'white man's burden' or 'white supremacy.'

“The Suez affair marked a turning point in history because the United States deliberately deserted the colonial powers which had been its traditional friends. We pledged our support to nations formerly held as colonies by the major powers.”

*This statement marked the stage in African affairs when the State Department formally deserted the West and the White man in Africa and adopted a policy of **Africa for the Africans** – a policy which, since that time, has been unctuously presented as part of the United States' “mission of freedom, equality and democracy” throughout the world.*

ORIGINATED OUTSIDE AFRICA

*But the truth is that the **Africa for the Africans** movement originated not amongst the Blacks of Africa, but outside Africa.*

It was masterminded and blueprinted in Moscow and New York, and has derived most of its driving force from White and Negro Communist outside of Africa.

And the “father” of this pan-African movement (as he is commonly referred to by “Liberals” and Communists)? He is none other than W.E.B. DuBois, the American Negro who collected over seventy Communist Front affiliations by the time he was allowed to leave the United States in 1959 to live in retirement in Communist Ghana.

OPENING AFRICA TO COMMUNISM

*This **Africa for the Africans** policy is also being represented by the State Department as a means of winning Africa for the Free World against Communism.*

But all the evidence points to the other way; namely, that this policy has been opening the African continent wide to Communism, with many of the emerging states rapidly on their way to becoming Marxian-Socialist states and Communist satellites. And if the Western powers do not recognize this danger before it is too late, and if they do not close their ranks while there is

yet time, they may well lose control, will, of itself, finally determine the nature and destiny of South African society?

SURVIVAL AT STAKE

These are important questions which need to be answered. They are the kind of questions that the people of South Africa – and particularly the Afrikaner, as the main defender of our national citadel – are not indefinitely going to allow to be shrugged off or evaded in silence.

At stake is the security and very survival of the White South African nation. Unless we realize in time that the political struggle in South Africa today is not confined to the party-political arena, but is also ruthlessly being waged in the fields of economics and finance by powerful organizations – such as the South Africa Foundation – we are courting trouble and headed for national disaster.

THE GREAT TRAGY OF THE WEST IS THAT MOST OF OUR LEADERS – AND THEY ARE ALL GOOD CHRISTIANS AND PATRIOTIC MEN – ARE TOTALLY INCAPABLE OF GRASPING OR UNDERSTANDING THE NATURE OF THE ENEMY BENT UPON THEIR DESTRUCTION. William Bullit, first U.S. Ambassador to Soviet Russia.

Herewith end the background and explanation of the history (in short) of the forces behind the destruction of Rhodesia, South Africa, German South West Africa and the Portuguese colonies, Angola and Mozambique.

I am grateful I can honor a great Nationalist, Christian and friend S.E.D. Brown, editor of THE SOUTH AFRICA OBSERVER, for his insight and courage to write this history and happenings down for future generations of not only the Afrikaner nation who he loved so much, as well as the White Western World. Denys Brown was a more than a patriot, he was a true soldier who carried a burden upon his shoulders to warn and educate. We will never forget you, thank you.

THE RESULTS OF THE BETRAYAL OF SOUTHERN AFRICA.

It was a sunny day 1990 when the ancestors of Nelson Mandela smiled upon his release from the upscale home on the grounds of Victor Verster

Prison. Mandela was groomed and trained to take over the government of South Africa. That was the last day of freedom for the Afrikaner nation as the slide to destruction started like an avalanche in the Alps where there is nothing stopping it. The only difference an avalanche in the Alps are white, a natural disaster which can be avoided as there are many warnings and mostly happen in remote areas. The avalanche hitting the Afrikaner is black and communist, manmade deliberately done on purpose and there is no way getting away from it, as there is no place to go and no place to hide. Just a few whites have been granted political asylum by the western countries, to very few who had the means to afford a ticket and those who emigrated over the years. For those unhappy and unfortunate majority of white and in particular the Afrikaners are stuck in an unbearable and severely dangerous and life threatening situation.

The ANC won the election with a landslide and became the first black government in the history of a prosperous White South Africa. Why do I mention White and Prosperous, because this was so, but the opposite will soon be realized by White and Black in this beautiful land on the most southern tip of the African Continent. To the north of South Africa the black ruling class already showed their true and incompetent colors of destroying what the Whites built. Now it was the turn of White South Africa to be turned into a swamp of misrule, incompetence, murder, chaos and mayhem, so well-known to anyone who cared to follow the day to day happenstance of Africa. The sell-out of the Whites all over Africa by Belgium, France, Britain, Portugal (under President Salazar and his successor, President Caetano the country was anti-communist, but in a coup d'etat by a triumvirate while Caetano was on a visit to South Africa the country turned to socialism and the eventual sell-out) and the United States manifested itself in the whirlpool of anarchy which Africa finds itself today. Every attack, be it on White or Black is racial. Every "Black" country consists of different Black races and different tribes and each one of them have their own language beliefs and culture. In South Africa we have 15 main different Black races with many different tribes. Nigeria has 478 different tribes who have been at war with each other since we have recorded history of the "Black" continent. The Whites being divided by Conservative and liberal are no different, only they are not murdering and raping each other as the Blacks so consistently do on a day to day basis. With the White population a tiny minority in Africa some either left to go back to Europe and the rest being murdered do not stand a chance in defending themselves as they are not only

fighting against the Black majority, but also the liberal Zionist control Western World.

In Angola and Mozambique, former Portuguese colonies, the Whites either fled to Portugal or to Rhodesia and South Africa. With the situation today these two countries have virtually no Whites left. When Rhodesia fell to Zimbabwe, the Whites who could not go back to Britain fled to South Africa. Today very few Whites are left in Zimbabwe with most of them losing their farms to “war veterans” who do not care or know how to farm, but just want to have the property of the Whiteman. Some of these White farmers moved to North Rhodesia, now known as Zambia from where the Mugabe regime imports the corn planted and nourished by the very White farmers they expropriated their land which belonged to them for centuries. To cover-up this import the government of Zimbabwe exchanges the “White” bags to those “made” in Zimbabwe!

Mugabe has been in power in Zimbabwe since “independence” in the year 1980 and from his reign to now the murders keep on and on between the two races the Shona and the Matabele the latter an offshoot of the Zulu. Mugabe is a Shona and “persuades” the inhabitants of this once prosperous country exporting beef, tobacco, etc. to vote his ZANU-PF party by murdering the opposition or putting them in prison on trumped up charges. With Mugabe’s hatred of the White West he is not shy to accept the hand-outs of the Liberals who sold out the Whites and also the Blacks who are now suffering even more.

Angola and Mozambique are no better off. Both countries were ploughed asunder by the war against the communist factions of the MPLA, FNLA and UNITA, (Angola) the latter being as anti-communist as appearances might go. These two countries rely heavily on help from the West. German South West Africa became Namibia (named after the Namib dessert) under SWAPO (South West Africa People’s Organization), also communist. The same incompetence is ruling in this beautiful country, but the savagery and barbarism towards the white population is absent in comparison to what is happening in South Africa. Of course the same cannot be said in the case of South West, Mozambique and Angola when the war was raging, so many atrocities committed by the communist terrorists the worst recorded during the war in Rhodesia by the 5th Brigade of North Korea.

This article is not about what happened during the war against the communists, but what is taking place in South Africa at this point in time, in particular.

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SOUTH AFRICA CRIME AND AFRIKANER GENOCIDE

There's common understanding among people and the UN that the Afrikaner Genocide at the hands of the communist ANC are nothing more than the crime all South Africans experience on a daily basis in South Africa, but it could not further than the truth.

WHAT IS GENOCIDE?

The term "genocide" was coined by legal scholar Raphael Lemkin in 1943, writing:

'Generally speaking, genocide does not necessary mean the immediate destruction of a nation, except when accomplished by mass killings of all members of a nation. It is intended rather to signify a coordinated plan of different actions aiming at the destruction of essential foundations of the life of national groups, with the aim of annihilating the groups themselves.

The objectives of such a plan would be the disintegration of the political and social institution, of culture, national feelings, religion, and the economic existence of national groups, and the destruction of personal security, liberty, health, dignity and lives of the members of such groups...'

FACTS NOT FICTION OF THE AFRIKANER GENOCIDE

1. In less than 1.5% of attacks, farm workers and housemaids were murdered or harmed; organized attacks were purposely directed towards Afrikaner families on their farms, smallholdings and in their homes. Caught farm attackers admitted only in 2% of all cases that it was out of work grievances. If Theft and Domestic violence are not the main cause and work grievances play an almost non-existent roll in the attacks, the only other reason would be planned and political genocide of a race. In September 1999, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) granted amnesty to three APLA cadres convicted for the murder of Sandra Swanepoel and attempted murder of her husband Johannes

Swanepoel, farmers near Tzaneen, Northern Province, in 1993. To some, this decision, which under the terms of the Promotion of National Unity and Reconciliation Act included a finding that the crime had a political motive, is proof that similar killings today are also part of an organized terror campaign. Alternatively, a correlation is drawn between the TRC hearings themselves and a rise in violent crime against farm owners. Statement by a soldier from the Lesotho Defense Force, on trial for treason in connection with an alleged attempted coup in 1998, that he had been trained to regard South African Boers as the enemy, linking it with news of land confiscation in Zimbabwe and the ANC's proposal to reform the law on gun control, as well as 'farm attacks' in South Africa." www.genocidewatch.org/southafrica.html

2. In less than 7% of these attacks property was reported stolen; on the contrary attacks were committed for the sole purpose of slaughtering and to exterminate Afrikaner families. Murders on black people by other black consist of 73% theft, 24% domestic violence and 3% ethnic cleansing of other Africa Black races. Muti (witchcraft) murders also play a role, due their strong believe in witchcraft and natural medicine. <http://picasaweb.google.com/117391905926843579436/BoerGenocide>
?

3. Political driven hatred by the ANC government through hate speeches and songs like 'KILL A BOER (white), KILL A FARMER', ONE BULLET, ONE SETTLER' and public hate speeches and racist gatherings in squatter camps and public places, where political and community figures ask for the confiscation of white property and widely propagate that it's not a sin to steal from white people or to kill them. (Sounds it came directly out of the Talmud). In these speeches white people are always referred to as thieves, criminals or cockroaches, these words have been used to incite multiple Genocides around the world. In almost 43% of Afrikaner genocide cases this hatred was documented by the police but was not released to the public on order by the ANC Government. There have only been 5 reported white on black hate murders in the last 17 years in comparison with almost 18 590-documented hate murders on white people. For this reason the ANC government propagate on a daily basis about the right wing threat and crimes in South Africa, they propagate untrue new bulletins and articles in the ANC controlled media about right wing terrorists and bomber that

the world swallows for the truth, what is interesting, is the fact when confronted with these new bulletins and articles no case information is given, for it's a ANC state secret, and a secret that doesn't exist. Now it must be understood that in the instance when a government put so much effort into propaganda to smear a specific race group and defend racist hate songs and speeches, that this qualify as a well-aimed racial hatred warfare and common Genocide tactics. The world thinks the attacks will stop if the ANC leaders don't sing racist songs anymore, it will not, their hate war are not just in songs, but laws, laws that allow black youths to kill in the name of the Communist ANC revolution.
<http://www.wix.com/ajkraad/genocide-museum>

4. In almost 96% of all murder cases on whites, especially on farms and smallholdings and 69% of house or suburb killings extreme mutilation torture and humiliation were reported. Bibles are often left open on the victim's corpse and urinated on as to say where is your GOD now? The victim's blood will be used to write warning messages on the walls and slogans like, 'KILL A BOER, KILL A FARMER' or 'WE WILL KILL ALL YOU WHITES' or 'ONE SETTLER, ONE BULLET'. Groups of Black gangs will threaten white people in suburbs that they will be killed if they do not leave, one of the best-documented facts of the purposeful genocide. Never in South Africa in the last 17 years were any of these tactics used where black people kill black people, unless it was xenophobic attacks. This pattern only exists in Genocide style attacks, a pattern that the Afrikaner have. This is what Thabo Mbeki (former SA President) had to say before getting control of SA, 'We can't fight a bush war in South Africa. Look at the map. It is all developed. There are roads, radios and landing strips everywhere. This is not Angola or Mozambique. We do not have forests. The (military) machine would smash us if tried to send in an army from outlying areas. Also, 87% of the Whites are in towns and cities. Our masses have to serve as our bush. The Black community and squatter camps is our bush.' This is clearly still tactics used by the ruling party to incite black people to kill and rape, and then argues that this is mere SA crime. There's no doubt that the ANC is responsible for many deaths of Afrikaners between 1994 and 2011, (still happening), any government that promotes violence against a minority group should be held responsible when such racist and genocidal attacks happen. The world can't promote peace, democracy and human rights but ignore crimes of certain governments because of racial preferences. <http://www.genocidewatch.org/southafrica.html>

5. In 71% of all these murder cases it was the soul intent by the attackers to exterminate the whole family. Husband, Wife and Kids, again this pattern you don't find amongst blacks killing blacks. Wives must be raped and butchered while the husband and kids watch then kids are dragged of and brutally killed separately, a genocide procedure used by the Tutsis in the Burundi genocide, another genocide ignored by the world on purpose. Again these patterns don't make up 3% in black on black killings. All of these genocide tactics are used to install fear in the group targeted to encourage them to leave their farms, smallholdings or to emigrate to another country. These tactics supported by the ANC government has let to over 4000 farmer families and 80 000 white Afrikaners slaughtered and a further 2 million that emigrated to other countries, better known as the brain drain, and this would be conservative figures, true figures are impossible to get from the ANC government. Statements in parliament by the ANC LP's that 'IF YOU DON'T LIKE THE CRIME AND ATTACKS, LEAVE SOUTH AFRICA' predict the many racial and hatred attacks still to come.
<http://www.politicsweb.co.za/politicisweb/view/politicsweb/en/page71654?oid=169969&sn=Detail>
6. The government with the help of the media don't publish all farm and racial attacks on whites, FACT; they lie about the true facts in murders and publish untrue statistics to prevent a national security risk. The media has never published the true genocide patterns and thus the media always refer to these genocide crimes as SOUTH AFRICAN CRIME'. Any conservative Afrikaner group in South Africa always get labeled as RIGHT WING, why don't they label the communist ANC as the Left Wing? No, because the ANC government spend too much money a year on newspaper and media publications and ads. The police again help the ANC by refusing to release the true murder facts and figures: there are a billion excuses and a billion programs to stop farm attacks that don't get off the ground purpose-fully. If it were not for whistle blowers almost no information would have been available on the murder figures or the political driven force behind it. They cleverly disguise the genocide attacks, as overall crime there no "crime code" providing for a category of crime but any Crime Detective would know that these tactics must be avoided by all means, because patterns can emerge to counter these attacks used by attackers. Why are these patterns purposely being ignored and swept under the carpet by the police? It can be only 2 reasons, one being that this can cause a national security risk and two

being that they allow this pattern of genocide continue without any disturbance. Both of these reasons point to the involvement of the police and ANC government. People who argue it's due to a lack of money and qualified personnel, should ask themselves why don't the ANC fix this then because they don't want to, this is a great excuse why Afrikaners get murdered.

7. The South African Police play a huge role in these attacks, and the police them-selves have committed many attacks. Police vehicles are commonly found on farm attack scenes, bullet ballistics have pointed out to thousands of police weapons used in the attacks and even police members have been caught still in their uniform, facts you will never see in the news media. Lies will be published that these police equipment were stolen, but they can't explain the police ID's away. The South African police purposefully make mistakes when investigating these Farm murder cases to ensure that suspects walk free after a court hearing caused by conflicting evidence and statements by "unqualified" police investigators. Just in the last Book year the Police lost (sold) 18 000 firearms to criminals, not one of these police members were convicted and fired from their jobs, they were just handed more weapons. The South African Defense Force lost a further 90 000 weapons, or should we say, sold and/or given to organized terrorist and criminal groups. These weapons are daily used in attacks and to arm their secret militia. Militia that are part of the ANC Youth League and Communist League and supported by the ANC LP's, terrorists who are being told and trained to kill white people and their families, and to chase white farmers off farms and small holdings. Not all South Africans know that these tactics also comes to front in the ANC, ANCYL and Communist Party manifest and was voted in by the ANC to attack soft targets in their never ending terrorist struggle. The fact that a single illegal firearm can commit an average of 12 crimes per annum would apply that the ANC Government contributed to almost 1 320 000 crimes per year, making them the world's largest crime organization.

<http://southafrica-pig.blogspot.com/2009/08/crooked-cops-still-at-work.html>

http://www.humanrightsinitiative.org/programs/aj/police/papers/gpj/police_brutality_insouth_africa.pdf

Maybe we should ask the most important question available, why are black and Indian farmers not targeted so much in similar attacks? There have only been 21 documented cases of attacks on black and Indian farmers with only 4 murders. Not hatred murders, but theft, not brutal torture or with a genocide

pattern but normal crime killings. Do these farmers care better for their workers or pay more. NO! It's Race Genocide.

8. Why did the ANC have military terrorist training camps in Mozambique and still up to today in Zimbabwe, why are these recruits trained by old APLA terrorist and Zimbabwean soldiers and for what reason? It is also a fact that in almost 81% of farm attacks old APLA tactics and direction signs were used, the same tactics used in their cross border attacks during the 80's and early 90's on families on farms. Terrorist warfare is their tactic and attacks are well planned for day and months before hand. Ex-APLA military signs are always found during farm and small holding attacks to indicate information for these attackers on the day. Attacks are never committed by only one attacker but by 5-15 attackers to ensure military precision. The ANC latest ploy to train the youth with the help of old APLA terrorists comes to front in the NARYSEC –National Rural Youth Service Corps where 8000 youth members from ANCYL and young Communist party were trained at military basis just like the Zimbabwean Green Bombers. “These Zimbabwe youths (Green Bombers) were guilty of murder, torture, rape, voter intimidation, destruction of property, attacks on businesses, disruption of opposition MDC rallies and ultimately invasions of white owned farms, killing farmers and their families and chasing them off their land. Just like Mugabe founded the National Youth Services to aid with “Land reform” in rural areas, the ANC founded NARYSEC under guidance of the Ministry of Rural Development and the Ministry of Defense.” This youth militia (8000 of them), will be trained at two military bases at..”Saldanha and Bloemfontein (De Brug).” The media and the ANC argues that is just work creation, if this was work creation why are none of the almost 950 000 whites who live in squatter camps included, there must be thousands of white youths that would like to play a role in community projects while they get paid, unless all the fear mongers are correct when arguing that NARYSEC will be used the same way the Zimbabwean Green Bombers were used.

[Http://news.africanseer.com/general/134391-south-africa-narysec-participants-urged-to-emulate-176-generation.html](http://news.africanseer.com/general/134391-south-africa-narysec-participants-urged-to-emulate-176-generation.html)

<http://www.thezimbabwemail.com/zimbabwe/4904-anc-planning-zimbanwe-style-land-invasions-after-world-cup.html>

http://www.politicsweb.co.za/politicsweb/view/politicsweb/en/page71619?oid=196328&sn=marketing+detail&ccs_clear_cache=1

9. Apartheid is always used as an excuse for hatred when someone confronts the ANC with facts, while the crimes of apartheid don't play a 1% role in the real reasons behind the Genocide of Afrikaners. If this was so why don't the Colored and Indian Nation in South Africa target the Afrikaners, why only the ANC members and ANC communist propaganda and lies about the true reason covering the Afrikaner Genocide. The ANC has always been about violence and hatred, their atrocities of torture and necklace murders was best proven in Camp Quadro in Angola where they murdered thousands of their own members, crimes they were never convicted for. The ANC has always encouraged the genocide style attacks on the Afrikaner, a tactic they learned from communist Soviet Union while on training camps there during the 70's and 80's and early 90's. Why do black protesters in squatter camps carry signs that say the ANC are worse than apartheid and work with private white Afrikaners to solve their failed service delivery systems? Apartheid has been removed 17 years ago, but now more than ever are the ANC bringing up the past, because they failed their people not apartheid, they failed all of them by enriching themselves with trillions of Rand from TAX payers. The only Apartheid in SA is the Black Empowerment laws, BEE laws and Racist employment laws. http://majorityrights.com/index.php/weblog/comments/a_genocide_in_south_africa/
10. With the Trillions of Rand stolen from state coffers the ANC have nothing to promise to the youth, the poor youth who was supposed to be uplifted by the ANC with white taxpayer's money. If you can't promise jobs, money or work you must promise land, mine and bank confiscation and nationalization to keep the masses happy. You encourage them to murder and commit genocide to prove your commitment to mass change. The ANC can't afford an uprising like we just saw in Libya and other African countries, so the only way they can counter this is are to make genocide easier and to encourage the youth to take from the whites. The gap between rich and poor are not due to white economics or farm land that are used to grow food for Africa, but fraud and misused funds that disappeared, failing civil and state service, corrupt politicians and criminals serving in parliament. If Afrikaners are so racist why do we pay 87% of the SA electricity bill and 73% of the tax, why do farmers fix roads and bridges with their money, why do farmers encourage farm skill development programs and education but just because they oppose the idea that a commercial farm cannot be handed over to a uneducated person with no farming skills and management skills, they are

demonized by the ANC and the media. Only 3% of the farm land distribution in the Black Empowerment program were successful, the ANC lies openly about the landownership percentages and tell its supporters and the international media that white farmers own 90% of the SA land, but it could not be further from the truth. In December a media report in agriculture magazine “Landbouweekblad” said that black land ownership might be far higher than official figures suggest. The report cited a joint project conducted by the Demographic Information Group and Population of South Africa (Popsa), which stated that in 2001 blacks owned 20% of the land, whites 44% and coloreds 9%, and the state municipalities owned 27% of South Africa’s land. Popsa researcher Cobus Jordaan said the project – funded by the Development Bank – analyzed properties by examining South Africa’s different magisterial districts. The researchers took as a given that property in former homelands were already in black hands. Why doesn’t the state make their land available to small farmers? BUT NO. The land is fully non-productive! We can’t lose any more productive commercial farmers this will lead to massive food shortages, unemployment, starvation and economic downfall.

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8547621.stm/> <http://mg.co.za/article/2009-01-23-who-owns-what-land-in-south-africa>

11. With their public encouraging of a NATIONAL DEMOCRATIC REVOLUTION uprising by the ANCYL and backed by the ANC LP’s, COSATU, PAC and SACP and they latest announcement by the police that they can’t contain crime or violent protests anymore these are typical excuses used to encourage genocide as the government can plead innocence afterwards. The plan of the National Democratic Revolution would be to get 2/3 majority so they can change the SA constitution at free will. Nationalization and democratic land grab to hide their crimes against the South African people and Afrikaners forever.
<http://whatishappeninginsouthafrica.blogspot.com/2011/07/national-democratic-revolution-and-you.html>

http://www.conelle.net/apps/blog/entries/show/7869956-war-on-libya-is-war-on-entire-africa-#TptdughY_18.twitter

12. Farmers who appoint new workers will normally be slaughtered with their family within days with the help of outside members. These are typical of well-planned APLA attacks, scout and gathering of information and a hit with the help of outside hands who have been

waiting, waiting to commit genocide. This factor blows holes in the argument that farm grievances or theft cause farm attacks.

13. The first law the ANC changed when they got to power in South Africa was the dismantlement of all rural safety units and commandoes. New gun laws were put through parliament to disarm law abiding Afrikaners, arms needed to ensure the safety of their families against attacks, whites are today publicly shamed in the media for carrying legal firearms and always classified as right wing extremist. ANC supporters argue that these laws are for all SA citizens but forget the fact that illegal weapons are sold by police members and illegal arms dealers daily and its these weapons that kill families not legal firearms, crimes are not committed by hunting rifles of farmers, but by illegal firearms, illegal firearms distributed by the ANC Government. The ANC have campaigns to mislead the world and SA about a gun free South Africa while police give criminals weapons and automatic weapons disappear from the Army safes. The ANC relaxed the border patrols and laws to ensure AK47's flow into South Africa easily from Mozambique and Zimbabwe. South Africa's former Metro Police Chief Robert McBride, one of the world's most brutal terrorists were caught in Mozambique smuggling AK47's into SA. The ANC doesn't support public private security firms and see the private security industry as a threat to SA safety. If crime was the issue of farm attacks and attacks on whites the government will welcome the idea of fighting crime and criminals. If the Genocide was a ludicrous idea by right wing supports, the ANC will support rural safety and commandoes, the ANC would have supported any private security firm fighting crime, but on the contrary they oppose this and publicly ask for the murder of a specific race group, a race group that has been in South Africa for 360 years, the AFRIKANER.
14. The ANC supports and planned the Afrikaner Genocide for many years while in exile for their brutal terrorist tactics. It can only be a non-intellectual who believes the ANC lies and propaganda, one of the world's worst criminal organizations that openly support criminal activities, genocide and xenophobia. The international community looks at the ANC seeing the face of Nelson Mandela and Desmond Tutu not the violent and criminal party that supports Genocide. Not even if the Afrikaner have all the proof in the world as they already do, will the world lift a finger, for they also support this genocide to hide their

terrible judgment mistakes and to ensure their control over mineral assets in South Africa which they will lose in the long run. There are 4 types of people in this genocide, the victims, the fighters for justice and human rights, the blind supporters of Nelson Mandela's rainbow dream that can't believe that the party he represents are capable of such atrocities and last but not least, the person who ignores the genocide to avoid conflict in the name of peace and democracy, a bloody peace and democracy, with the last two being contributors of genocide with three ignorant fact-less answers and silence. If white human rights don't mean anything to you, why do you expect whites to support black human rights? Is it fair to counter racism with racism? <http://youth.be/Olso78kkJP0>

15. We did not even go into the Genocide of Afrikaner culture, language, history and human rights. We know with our strong believe in GOD we will prevail and He know the outcome, whatever that maybe, but the fact that the world ignores our Genocide are a great worry to our existence as a proud and God Fearing nation, and the genocide must be stopped by the international world and taken serious, or civil war will be the final outcome, innocent people don't want to be caught in a brutal war, but why don't innocent people open their mouth and protest this violent onslaught by the ANC on the Afrikaner nation. The Afrikaner nation is on its knees and begs the world to listen and to react, because we can't take it any longer, and retaliation is only a breath away.
16. Murders committed on farms in South Africa are 700 times higher than average in the rest of the world. "It is now more dangerous to be a farmer than to be a policeman. In 99% of the cases where a murder took place on a farm production stops, and every farm murder cost the taxpayer R2 million. The risk to be killed on a farm is about 30 times higher than in any other part of the community. The attacks are furthermore extremely violent. Commercial farms have dropped with over 50% and this will mean massive food shortages in the future. Probably more than 1 million people have lost their jobs due to the farm murders and this creates a wave effect in the business sector, if 10 farms stop producing in a district that could lead to 30 businesses going bankrupt. With Georgia planning to relocate 41 000 farmers to Georgia to uplift their economy and farming sector it's not long before South Africa will become the next Zimbabwe, where a loaf of bread will cost a

few thousand. <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/boer-farmers-head-for-new-home-in-georgia-2128794.html>

17. ANC Terrorism described in 2011 against white South Africans: “It chooses innocent victims precisely, because they are innocent. What distinguishes terrorism is the willful, calculated choice of innocents as targets....Terrorism is the deliberate and systematic murder, maiming and menacing of the innocent to inspire fear for political ends.” Terrorists habitually describe themselves as guerillas. Guerillas are not terrorists. They are irregular soldiers who wage war on regular military forces – not on civilians. Actually, guerillas are the very opposite of terrorists. While they put themselves against far superior combatants, terrorists choose to attack weak and defenseless civilians – old men, women, and children – anyone in fact, except soldiers if they can avoid it. Civilians then are the key to the terrorists’ strategy. They kill civilians and more often than not they hide behind them, hoping that the prospect of more innocent death will help the escape retribution.

If all of these proven facts, cases, stories and evidence don’t convince you that the ANC are committing a genocide against the Afrikaner nation, you are a true Racist and BLIND, willing full supporter of the Afrikaner Genocide. You must also be held responsible for crimes committed against humanity, peace and real democracy. Would you be silent if this was your family?

www.SouthAfricaProject.info

www.wix.com/ajkraad/genocide-museum

GENOCIDE EMERGENCY FOR SA WHITES

Genocide Watch: “Julius Malema must be removed as leader of the ANC youth league..he is a violent Marxist-racist just like Winnie Mandela.”

But just as does Malema the ruling ANC-party leader and South African president Jacob Zuma also repeatedly sings “Kill the Boer” – inciting people to kill the Afrikaners and Whites of South Africa – he did so again on February 6, 2012 in Bloemfontein.

In January 2012, Genocide Watch placed South Africa’s women (because of the high rape rate) at stage 5 (out of 8 stages) of genocide – and also the

white minority – because they were ‘endangered by ANC youths and Black-Marxist Racists.’”

<http://bit.ly/kSfVH>

February 6 2012: ANC president Jacob Zuma sings “Kill the Boer” in Bloemfontein – on the 100th anniversary of the ruling ANC party of South Africa – a full 19 years after the African National Congress party, under the leadership of the secret communist party member Nelson Mandela, gained hegemony of the country, their leaders continue to sing for the genocide of all the whites.

The lyrics of the song (in Zulu) are translated as follows:

Ayasab’ amagwala (the cowrds are scared) dubula dubula (shoot shoot)
ayasab’ a magwala (the cowards are scared) dubula dubula shoot shoot)
awu yoh dubula dubula (shoot shoot) aw dubul’ubhunu (shoot the Boer)
dubula dubula (shoot shoot) aw dubul’ibhunu (shoot the Boer) dubula
dubula (shoot shoot) aw dubul’ibhunu (shoot the Boer) dubula dubula
(shoot shoot) aw dubul’ibhunu (shoot the Boer) dubula dubula (shoot
shoot)

(Some interpretations claim that he at times inserts another deviating sentence namely, ‘**Shoot the Pink Skins**’. Its meaning however remains very clear: whether Zuma sings ‘**Shoot the Pink Skins**’ or “**Shoot the Boer**’...) in essence the meaning is the same, to kill off the whites in South Africa.

The Afrikaner nation is already in the sixth stage of the eight stages of Genocide, as per Dr. Gregory Stanton of Genocide watch. Here are the different stages:

6. PREPARATION Victims are identified and separated out because of their ethnic or religious identity. Death lists are drawn up. Members of victim groups are forced to wear identifying symbols (not necessary in this case because it is the color of the skin White and the language spoken is Afrikaans). Their property is expropriated. They are often segregated into ghettos, deported into concentration camps, or confined to a famine-struck region and starved.

At this stage, a Genocide Emergency must be declared. If the political will of the great powers, regional alliances, or the U.N. Security Council

can be mobilized, armed international intervention should be prepared, or heavy assistance provided to the victim group to prepare for its self-defense.

Otherwise, at least humanitarian assistance should be organized by the U.N. and private relief groups for the inevitable tide of refugees to come.

7. **EXTERMINATION** begins, and quickly becomes the mass killing legally called “genocide”. It is “extermination” to the killers because they do not believe their victims to be fully human. When it is sponsored by the state, the armed forces often work with militias to do the killing. Sometimes the genocide results in revenge killings by groups against each other, creating the downward whirlpool-like cycle of bilateral genocide (in Burundi). At this stage, only rapid and overwhelming armed intervention can stop genocide. Real safe areas or refuge escape corridors should be established with heavily armed international protection. (An unsafe “safe” area is worse than none at all). The U.N. Standing High Readiness Brigade, EU Rapid Response Force, or regional forces – should be authorized to act by the U.N. Security Council if the genocide is small. For larger interventions, a multilateral force authorized by the U.N. should intervene. If the U.N. is paralyzed, regional alliances must act. It is time to recognize that the international responsibility to protect transcends the narrow interests of individual nation states. If strong nations will not provide troops to intervene directly, they should provide airlift, equipment, and financial means necessary for regional states to intervene.

8. **DENIAL** is the eight stage that always follow genocide. It is among the surest indicators of further genocidal massacres. The perpetrators of genocide dig up the mass graves, burn the bodies, try to cover up the evidence and intimidate the witnesses. They deny that they committed any crimes, and often blame what happened on the victims. They block investigations of the crimes, and continue to govern until driven from power by force, when they flee into exile. There they remain with impunity, like Pol Pot or Idi Amin, unless they are captured and a tribunal is established to try them. The response to denial is punishment by an international tribunal or national courts. There the evidence can be heard, and the perpetrators punished. Tribunals like the Yugoslav or Rwanda tribunals, or an international tribunal to try the Khmer Rouge in Cambodia, or an International Criminal Court may not deter the worst

genocidal killers. But with the political will to arrest and prosecute them, some may be brought to justice. <http://www.genocidewatch.org>

Stage 8 is the denial of genocide – which in South Africa already occurred much earlier, during stages 4 and 5 – with the ANC-regime’s denial of its genocidal attacks against Boer farmers and its constant official attempts to hide these facts by stopping its recording these ‘farm-attacks’ in separate crime-categories on the official police statistics site <http://www.saps.gov.za>

In January 2012 it was announced that ANCYL your leader Julius Malema, while officially suspended as president of the youth league: this suspension was later announced would only take effect in June 2014. Effectively Malema remains in power at ANCYL. Moreover, his political power continues in the ANC after 2014: late last year he was also elected as an executive-council member of the most influential group in the ANC-leadership, namely the Limpopo branch.

The People’s Manifesto goes to parliament on the Day of the Manifesto September 12, 2012.

This is the call of September National Imbizo (SNI) to put before the South African parliament this revolutionary manifesto. (What is so ironic their denial for any help from the White man, but the ideas are all from *Das Kapital* and *Communist Manifesto*, never written by an African).

What is the September National Imbizo? The SNI is a people’ Movement. It is a national voluntary movement of likeminded people who wish to bring about a new society where all are taken care for real. It is a change on all levels, politics, natural resources and social. This change must put BLACKS FIRST and does not benefit new political elite.

This movement states the ANC is only an extended apartheid since 1994. They complain blacks are suffering more under the ANC and just deepened colonialism and inequality and ant-black racism.

The movement is a movement and not a political party. SNI seeks to end elite power and bring about a peoples democracy where the people make decisions for themselves all the time.

The SNI seeks to transform politicians and public servants to be servants for the people.

For change to happen there is a need for a massive, radical and Black-centered movement in society, which would be able to mobilize against injustice waged by politicians.

The ultimate aim is complete revolution Red October-style with mass killings, chaos, anarchy and lawlessness and the complete destruction of the people and the country by these communist terrorists.

This “liberation” movement is against white supremacy and colonialism and is committed to radical Pan Africanism.

There is no portfolio for a chairman or secretaries, etc. Membership is only open to Black people. Why? Because South Africa is an anti-Black white supremacist country managed by the ANC in the interest of white people. Only blacks can liberate themselves. Example, the liberation of Haiti is mentioned and also that it was highly successful (why did they need American White money when they had the earthquake? Ed.)

The movement will try to unite the blacks with the coloreds and Indians apparently Steve Biko the radical activist was successful in accomplishing this.

SNI is a people’s movement and against all forms of oppression. They stated they struggle against white supremacy which is driven by capitalism, imperialism and neo-colonialism and they are working on this ideal for the rest of Africa.

SNI mentioned Malcolm X who said, “...we shall struggle for the liberation by ANY MEANS necessary.

There will be no compromise with the ANC or the Democratic Alliance. If the SNI finds itself in the trenches with ANC/DA they will maintain a revolutionary posture.

SNI wants to put a system in place that “puts blacks first” and want economic, social, political and cultural freedom Now! We want everything!

They held Haiti as the ultimate where the blacks overthrew the white government in the 19th century.

The Manifesto suggests a black socialist state which would personify, institutionalize and promote radically different relations and values among people; an anti-capitalist state whose final and fundamental aim would be the final abolition of all exploitative and oppressive relations among human beings and the destructive antagonistic contradictions to which these relations give rise. These endeavors would be guided by a commitment to the principle BLACKS FIRST.

<http://septembernationalimbizo.org/sni-the-peoples-manifesto-faqs/>

With the African already on a “high” with bloodlust, murder and rape, it would not be difficult to take them to the next stage with nothing to stop this insanity God help us all!

Here follows a quote from Jewish studies professor, Dr. Noel Ignatiev: “The goal of abolishing the WHITE RACE is, on its face SO DESIRABLE that some may find it hard to believe that it could incur any opposition, other than from committed White supremacists. Make no mistake about it, we intend bashing the dead White males, and the live ones, and the females too, until the social construct known as the WHITE RACE is destroyed – not DECONSTRUCTED BUT DESTROYED.” The TRUMPET, South Africa.

With this statement in mind, why would the western nations or the U.N. Security Council or any of its different “councils” interfere with what is taking place in South Africa. Keeping this in mind then, there is no way the Afrikaner nation can rely on outside help from the rotten Zionist occupied western world. To rely on the princess of this world will be to no avail, as up to date the situation in South Africa has been totally ignored, but by “White Supremacists” all over the world.

The only solution is, and this is not widely accepted, is to stay in prayer and our Heavenly Father WILL make way in changing the hearts of people to take action and be the instrument of saving the Afrikaner nation. This was prophesized by Niklaas van Rensburg and Johanna Brandt, but the most important in Zephaniah 3:9-10, *Then afterwards, I will convert the Nations to a pure lip: - for all of them to call upon the name of the EVER-LIVING,*

to serve Him with united shoulder. From beyond the Rivers of Kush, the rich Daughter of the DISPERSION shall bring me tribute.

Nothing is ever hopeless, nothing is ever lost. The Greatest Warrior is on the side of His Children and the satanic forces against His children will not and cannot have the Victory:

OUR ONLY NATION

By Pastor Robert E. Miles

“The Banner

The Banner high,

Our race, the cry.

No leaders, king or tyrant mark,

Can bring us to salvation.

Each man alone,

Stands on the throne,

The Viking needs no

Emancipation.

Each man is free, with God you see,

Our race, our only nation.”

“Fate begins to weigh nations and men according to the truth and durability of their convictions.”

SOLI DEO GLORIA

